

# The complete solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in Quantum Mechanics

Rafael Ferraro

*Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio*

*Casilla de Correo 67 - Sucursal 28, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

*Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales*

*Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pab. I*

*1428 Buenos Aires - Argentina*

*e-mail ferraro@iafe.uba.ar*

*PACS 03.65.Ca*

**ABSTRACT:** An ordinary unambiguous integral representation for the finite propagator of a quantum system is found by starting of a privileged skeletonization of the functional action in phase space, provided by the complete solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. This representation allows to regard the propagator as the sum of the contributions coming from paths where the momenta generated by the complete solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are conserved -as it does happen on the classical trajectory-, but are not restricted to having the classical values associated with the boundary conditions for the original coordinates.

By taking Dirac's ideas <sup>[1]</sup> into account, R.P.Feynman explained in 1948 how Quantum Mechanics can be formulated from principles that make contact with the variational principles of Mechanics<sup>[2]</sup>. Feynman shown that Quantum Mechanics can be based on the statement that the *propagator*, ie the probability amplitude of finding the system in the state  $\mathbf{q}''$  at  $t''$ , given that it was found in  $\mathbf{q}'$  at  $t'$ , can be obtained by means of the path integration:

$$\langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{q}(t) \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[\mathbf{q}(t)] \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $S[\mathbf{q}(t)]$  is the functional action of the system. Since the path integral is a functional integration, one gives a meaning to eq.(1) by replacing each path by a *skeletonized* version where the path  $\mathbf{q}(t)$  is represented by interpolating points  $(\mathbf{q}_k, t_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{q}'$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_N = \mathbf{q}''$ . Then the functional action is replaced by a function  $S(\{\mathbf{q}_k, t_k\})$ , and the functional integration reduces to integrate the variables  $\mathbf{q}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$ . Finally the limit  $\Delta t_k \equiv t_{k+1} - t_k \rightarrow 0$  (ie,  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) is performed. There is a privileged recipe for the function  $S(\{\mathbf{q}_k, t_k\})$  <sup>[2]</sup>:

$$S(\{\mathbf{q}_k, t_k\}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} S(\mathbf{q}_{k+1} t_{k+1} | \mathbf{q}_k t_k), \quad (2)$$

where  $S(\mathbf{q}_{k+1} t_{k+1} | \mathbf{q}_k t_k)$  is the Hamilton principal function, ie the functional action evaluated on the classical path joining  $(\mathbf{q}_k, t_k)$  and  $(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, t_{k+1})$ . However the measure remains ambiguous in eq.(1)<sup>[3,4,5]</sup>. People have thought that a path integration in phase space could remedy this problem because there is a privileged measure in phase space: the Liouville measure  $d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} / (2\pi\hbar)^n$  ( $n$  is the dimension of the configuration space), which is invariant under canonical transformations. However there was not found a privileged recipe to skeletonize the canonical functional action

$$S[\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)] = \int_{t'}^{t''} (\mathbf{p}(t) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) - H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})) dt. \quad (3)$$

In Ref. 5, 6 several recipes were essayed for newtonian and relativistic systems moving on a curved manifold. The results showed that they were equivalent to different measures in eq.(1), and different operator orderings in the wave equation.

In a general case,  $S[\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)]$  should be replaced by a skeletonized action

$$S(\{\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{p}_k, t_k\}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} S(\mathbf{q}_{k+1} t_{k+1} | \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{p}_k t_k) \quad (4)$$

satisfying the following requirements [5]:

*i)* The points  $(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{p}_k, t_k)$  are interpolating points for the path  $\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{q}'$ ,  $t_0 = t'$ , and  $\mathbf{q}_N = \mathbf{q}''$ ,  $t_N = t''$ .

*ii)* The skeletonized action must be stationary on the points interpolating the classical path between  $(\mathbf{q}', t')$  and  $(\mathbf{q}'', t'')$ .

*iii)* When  $\Delta t_k \equiv (t_{k+1} - t_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall k$ , the skeletonized action must go to the functional action for any smooth path.

*iv)* The skeletonized action must retain the symmetry properties of the canonical functional action (for instance, invariance under point transformations, ie canonical transformations resulting from a coordinate change in the configuration space).

Then the path integral

$$\langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{p}(t) \mathcal{D}\mathbf{q}(t) \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)] \right], \quad (5)$$

will be identified with

$$\langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle = \lim_{\Delta t_k \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}_0}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{d\mathbf{p}_k d\mathbf{q}_k}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \right) \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} S(\mathbf{q}_{k+1} t_{k+1} | \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{p}_k t_k) \right]. \quad (6)$$

We remark that  $\mathbf{p}_0$  is integrated in eq.(6), but  $\mathbf{q}_0$  is not, because  $\mathbf{q}_0$  is the fixed boundary  $\mathbf{q}'$ . The finite propagator in eq.(6) can be regarded as the composition of infinitesimal propagators:

$$\langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle = \int \langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}_{N-1} t_{N-1} \rangle d\mathbf{q}_{N-1} \langle \mathbf{q}_{N-1} t_{N-1} | \dots \dots \quad (7)$$

$$\dots \dots | \mathbf{q}_2 t_2 \rangle d\mathbf{q}_2 \langle \mathbf{q}_2 t_2 | \mathbf{q}_1 t_1 \rangle d\mathbf{q}_1 \langle \mathbf{q}_1 t_1 | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle,$$

where each infinitesimal propagator is

$$\langle \mathbf{q}'' \ t'' = t' + \epsilon | \mathbf{q}' \ t' \rangle = \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} S(\mathbf{q}'' \ t'' | \mathbf{q}' \ \mathbf{p}' \ t') \right]. \quad (8)$$

We are going to show that the complete solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation provides a privileged recipe to skeletonize the canonical action, in the same way that the Hamilton principal function does in the configuration space. Let be  $J(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)$  a complete solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial t} + H \left( \mathbf{q}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) = 0,$$

where the  $\mathbf{P}$ 's are the  $n$  integration constants.  $J(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)$  can be regarded as the generator of a time dependent canonical transformation  $\{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})\} \rightarrow \{(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P})\}$

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{P}}. \quad (9)$$

The dynamical variables  $\{(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P})\}$  result to be conserved on the classical trajectory. We propose for the privileged skeletonization:

$$S(\mathbf{q}_{k+1} t_{k+1} | \mathbf{q}_k \mathbf{p}_k t_k) = J(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{P}_k, t_{k+1}) - J(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{P}_k, t_k), \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_k = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{p}_k, t_k)$ . The skeletonization (10) has a clear physical meaning in terms of the functional action. Since  $dJ = \mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Q} \cdot d\mathbf{P} - H dt$ , one realizes that (10) is the functional action evaluated on a path joining  $(\mathbf{q}_k, t_k)$  with  $(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, t_{k+1})$  such that  $\mathbf{P}$  remains constant and equal to  $\mathbf{P}_k$  along the path. Although  $\mathbf{P}$  does remain constant on the classical path, the paths associated with the skeletonization (10) are not classical in general, because the value  $\mathbf{P}_k$  is left free; instead, on the classical path the value of  $\mathbf{P}_k$  is not arbitrary but is determined by the boundaries  $(\mathbf{q}_k, t_k)$  and  $(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, t_{k+1})$ . In the spirit of Ref. 5, the expression (10) will be called *phase space principal function*.

We will show that the skeletonization via the recipe (10) fulfills the properties (ii)-(iv):

ii) Let us consider  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  as independent variables, and begin by varying the skeletonized action with respect to  $\mathbf{P}_k$ . It is a well know fact that the function  $J(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{P}', t'') - J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}', t')$  evaluated at the point  $\mathbf{P}'$  where it is stationary, is equal to the Hamilton principal function  $S(\mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t')$  <sup>[7,8]</sup>. In fact, the condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_k} J(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{P}_k, t_{k+1}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_k} J(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{P}_k, t_k) = 0, \quad \forall k \quad (11)$$

means that the  $\mathbf{P}_k$ 's are such that  $\mathbf{Q}_{k+1} = \mathbf{Q}_k$ ; the conservation of both  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  implies that the path is classical. Then the stationary value of the skeletonized action (10) with respect to the variables  $\mathbf{P}_k$  coincides with the skeletonized action in the configuration space (2).

By varying (2) with respect to the  $\mathbf{q}_k$ 's, one gets the condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_k} S(\mathbf{q}_{k+1} t_{k+1} | \mathbf{q}_k t_k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_k} S(\mathbf{q}_k t_k | \mathbf{q}_{k-1} t_{k-1}) = 0, \quad \forall k \quad (12)$$

meaning that the  $\mathbf{q}_k$ 's are such that the final momentum of the classical path between  $(\mathbf{q}_{k-1}, t_{k-1})$  and  $(\mathbf{q}_k, t_k)$ , matches the initial momentum of the classical path between  $(\mathbf{q}_k, t_k)$  and  $(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, t_{k+1})$ . This continuity guarantees that the points  $\{(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{p}_k)\}$  rendering the skeletonized action (10) stationary are interpolating points of the entire classical path between  $(\mathbf{q}', t')$  and  $(\mathbf{q}'', t'')$ .

iii) For any smooth path,  $\Delta \mathbf{q}_k \equiv \mathbf{q}_{k+1} - \mathbf{q}_k$  goes to zero when  $\Delta t_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then

$$J(\mathbf{q}_{k+1}, \mathbf{P}_k, t_{k+1}) - J(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{P}_k, t_k) \longrightarrow \left. \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right|_k \cdot \Delta \mathbf{q}_k + \left. \frac{\partial J}{\partial t} \right|_k \Delta t_k = \mathbf{p}_k \cdot \Delta \mathbf{q}_k - H(\mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{p}_k) \Delta t_k.$$

Thus the skeletonized action (10) goes to the functional action.

iv) It is obvious from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation that  $J$  retains the invariances of  $H$ : if  $H$  is invariant under point transformations, then so are  $J$  and the skeletonized action.

The infinitesimal quantum propagator of eq.(8) results in

$$< \mathbf{q}'' t'' = t' + \epsilon | \mathbf{q}' t' > = \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}', t')}{\partial \mathbf{q}' \partial \mathbf{P}'} \right| \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (J(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{P}', t'') - J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}', t')) \right], \quad (13)$$

where  $\left| \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mathbf{q} \partial \mathbf{P}} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \mathbf{P}} \right|$  is the Jacobian for the substitution  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ .

Note in eqs. (6) and (13) that  $\langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle$  is a bivaluated function which is scalar in  $\mathbf{q}''$  but is a density of weight 1/2 in  $\mathbf{q}'$ , because  $\mathbf{p}'$  is integrated but  $\mathbf{p}''$  is not. These behaviors are compatible with the equation for the propagation of the wave function

$$\Psi(\mathbf{q}'', t'') = \int d\mathbf{q}' \langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle \Psi(\mathbf{q}', t'), \quad (14)$$

if the wave function  $\Psi$  is going to be regarded as scalar. An scalar wave function compels to use an invariant measure  $\mu(\mathbf{q}) d\mathbf{q}$  in the inner product in the Hilbert space; the density  $\mu$  will be ultimately dictated by the result of the path integration [6]. The different behaviors of the propagator (6) under changes of  $\mathbf{q}''$  and  $\mathbf{q}'$  prevents the use of the notation  $\langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle^* = \langle \mathbf{q}' t' | \mathbf{q}'' t'' \rangle$ . This lack of symmetry in the roles played by  $\mathbf{q}''$  and  $\mathbf{q}'$  can be remedied in eq.(13) by splitting the Jacobian in two factors depending on  $\mathbf{q}''$  and  $\mathbf{q}'$  respectively. Concretely, we propose to formulate the propagation of the wave function in Quantum Mechanics by *postulating* the following infinitesimal quantum propagator:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' = t' + \epsilon | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle = & \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}'}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{P}', t'')}{\partial \mathbf{q}'' \partial \mathbf{P}'} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}', t')}{\partial \mathbf{q}' \partial \mathbf{P}'} \right|^{1/2} \\ & \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (J(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{P}', t'') - J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}', t')) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This propagator does not depend on the choice of the integration constants  $\mathbf{P}$  in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, because it is invariant under changes of  $\mathbf{P}'$ 's. Since the propagator (15) is a density of weight 1/2 in both arguments, then the wave function is a density of weight 1/2; therefore the inner product in the Hilbert space is simply

$$(\Psi, \Phi) = \int d\mathbf{q} \Psi^* \Phi, \quad (16)$$

no matter which generalized coordinates are used for describing the system.

Eq.(15) is an unambiguous recipe for the propagator that is privileged because of its direct association with the properties of the classical system. Moreover, as we are going to

show, the composition of infinitesimal propagators leads to a finite quantum propagator of the *same form*, instead of a functional integration. In fact, let us consider the composition of infinitesimal propagators

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{q}_3 \ t_3 | \mathbf{q}_1 \ t_1 \rangle &= \int d\mathbf{q}_2 \ \langle \mathbf{q}_3 \ t_3 | \mathbf{q}_2 t_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{q}_2 t_2 | \mathbf{q}_1 \ t_1 \rangle \\
&= \int d\mathbf{q}_2 \ \frac{d\mathbf{P}_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mathbf{q}_3 \partial \mathbf{P}_2} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mathbf{q}_2 \partial \mathbf{P}_2} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mathbf{q}_2 \partial \mathbf{P}_1} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mathbf{q}_1 \partial \mathbf{P}_1} \right|^{1/2} \\
&\quad \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (J(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{P}_2, t_3) - J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_2, t_2) + J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_1, t_2) - J(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{P}_1, t_1)) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In eq.(17), the integral

$$\int d\mathbf{q}_2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_2, t_2)}{\partial \mathbf{q}_2 \partial \mathbf{P}_2} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_1, t_2)}{\partial \mathbf{q}_2 \partial \mathbf{P}_1} \right|^{1/2} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_1, t_2) - J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_2, t_2)) \right], \tag{18}$$

is a density of weight 1/2 in  $\mathbf{P}_1$  and  $\mathbf{P}_2$ . A comparison with eq.(15) suggests that this integral is equal to  $\langle \mathbf{P}_2 | \mathbf{P}_1 \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{P}_1)$ . In order to confirm this suspect, one should verify that  $J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_1, t_2) - J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_2, t_2)$  is a suitable skeletonized action for the  $\mathbf{P}$ 's. Since the variables  $\{(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P})\}$  generated by the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are conserved, the functional action which is stationary when the  $\mathbf{P}$ 's are fixed at the extremes is

$$S[\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}] = \int_{t'}^{t''} \mathbf{P} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \ dt - [\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P}]_{t'}^{t''} = - \int_{t'}^{t''} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{P}} \ dt,$$

while

$$J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_1, t_2) - J(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{P}_2, t_2) = \left. \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{P}} \right|_2 \cdot (\mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_2) = -\mathbf{Q} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{P}$$

for any smooth path. Therefore we confirm that (18) is the Dirac delta  $\delta(\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{P}_1)$ , and the form (6) of the quantum propagator will remain unchanged even if the time interval

is finite:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q}'' t'' | \mathbf{q}' t' \rangle = & \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{P}, t'')}{\partial \mathbf{q}'' \partial \mathbf{P}} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}, t')}{\partial \mathbf{q}' \partial \mathbf{P}} \right|^{1/2} \\ & \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (J(\mathbf{q}'', \mathbf{P}, t'') - J(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{P}, t')) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The finite quantum propagator (19) is an ordinary (not a functional) integral which can be regarded as the superposition of contributions coming from paths joining the boundaries with arbitrary constant values of the classically conserved dynamical variable  $\mathbf{P}$ . The main contribution comes from the classical path, where not only  $\mathbf{P}$  but  $\mathbf{Q}$  is conserved. In fact, the conservation of  $\mathbf{Q} = \partial J / \partial \mathbf{P}$  means that the classical path renders the phase stationary. The knowledge of the classical dynamics, represented by the complete solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, determines without ambiguities the propagation of the the wave function in Quantum Mechanics.

This work was partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (Argentina).

## REFERENCES

1. P.A.M.Dirac, Physik. Zeits. Sowjetunion **3**, 64 (1933).
2. R.P.Feynman, Rev.Mod.Phys. **20**, 367 (1948).
3. B.S.DeWitt, Rev.Mod.Phys. **29**, 377 (1957).
4. L.Parker, Phys.Rev. D **19**, 438 (1979).
5. K.Kuchař, J.Math.Phys. **24**, 2122 (1983).
6. R.Ferraro, Phys.Rev. D **45**, 1198 (1992).
7. C.Lanczos, *The Variational Principles of Mechanics*, Dover, New York (1986).
8. L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, *Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1959).